

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,933	03/10/2004	Jahangir S. Rastegar	10028	3287
75	90 07/27/2004		EXAMINER	
Thomas Spine 2 Sipala Court	lli	HARTMAN		N, GARY S
East Northport,	NY 11731		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3671	

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	10/796	933	RASTEGAR ET A	L. 🔨		
Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit			
		artmann	3671	$__$		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on t	he cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no on. , a reply within the some one of the control of the co	event, however, may a reply be tir latutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from polication to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely the mailing date of this co	/. mmunication.		
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
l <u>—</u>						
	nce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-29 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers				•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	accepted or to the drawing(s) prrection is requ	be held in abeyance. See ired if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d). O-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				,		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have be nents have be priority docum reau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Application ents have been receive lle 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National S	Stage		
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date	s) 3/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te atent Application (PTO-	152)		
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	ce Action Summ	ary Par	t of Paper No./Mail Date	e 07262004		

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9 and 27, drawn to a system for slowing a vehicle, classified in class404, subclass 10.
- II. Claims 10-12, drawn to a roadway block, classified in class 404, subclass 6.
- III. Claims 13-15, drawn to a roadway barrier, classified in class 404, subclass 9.
- IV. Claims 16-26, drawn to a method for slowing a vehicle, classified in class 404, subclass 72.
- V. Claims 28 and 29, drawn to a set of blocks, classified in class 404, subclass 15.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because Invention II does not require the spring units. The subcombination has separate utility such as a barrier for reserving a parking space, for example.

Inventions III and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant

Art Unit: 3671

case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because Invention III does not require the spring units. The subcombination has separate utility such as a barrier for reserving a parking space, for example.

Inventions I and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method could use a unit having a hydraulic actuator, for example, to deploy the panels.

Inventions V and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because Invention V does not require the spring units. The subcombination has separate utility such as a barrier for reserving a parking space, for example.

Inventions II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as

P. CHARLES VILLEY

Art Unit: 3671

claimed because Invention II does not require the two configurations. The subcombination has separate utility such as an impact absorbing apparatus.

Inventions II and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product does not require deploying.

Inventions II and V are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because Invention II does not require perpendicular sides. The subcombination has separate utility such as a curb.

Inventions III and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method does not necessitate the perpendicular configuration.

Inventions V and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the

Art Unit: 3671

subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because Invention V does not require the two configurations. The subcombination has separate utility such as a curb.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Hartmann whose telephone number is 703-305-4549. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 703-308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3671

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary Hartmann Primary Examiner Art Unit 3671

gh